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Preliminary remarks

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (2000)

Title VII – General Provisions Governing the Interpretation and Application of the Charter

Article 52 – Scope and interpretation of rights and principles

3. In so far as this Charter contains rights which correspond to rights guaranteed by the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the meaning and scope of those rights shall be the same as those laid down by the said Convention. This provision shall not prevent Union law providing more extensive protection.
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Title VII – General Provisions Governing the Interpretation and Application of the Charter

Article 52 – Scope and interpretation of rights and principles
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Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial

1. In the determination of his civil rights and obligations or of any criminal charge against him, everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the trial in the interests of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties so require, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.

2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

3. Everyone charged with a criminal offence has the following minimum rights:
   (a) to be informed promptly, in a language which he understands and in detail, of the nature and cause of the accusation against him;
   (b) to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence;
   (c) to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing or, if he has not sufficient means to pay for legal assistance, to be given it free when the interests of justice so require;
   (d) to examine or have examined witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him;
   (e) to have the free assistance of an interpreter if he cannot understand or speak the language used in court.
Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial – basic structure

§ 1: guarantees applicable to both “civil” and “criminal” cases

- [everyone is entitled to] a fair ...
- and public hearing
- within a reasonable time
- by an independent
- and impartial
- tribunal established by law
- judgment pronounced publicly, unless...

§§ 2 and 3: guarantees applicable to “criminal” cases only

- presumption of innocence
- defence rights (legal assistance etc.)
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§ 1: guarantees applicable to both “civil” and “criminal” cases

• [everyone is entitled to] a fair ...
• and public hearing → Ramos Nunes de Carvalho e Sá v. Portugal (2018, 55391/13)
• within a reasonable time → Unión Alimentaria Sanders SA v. Spain (1989, 11681/85)
• by an independent → Baka v. Hungary (2016, no. 20261/12); Kövesi v. Romania (2020, no. 3594/19)
• and impartial → Kyprianou v. Cyprus (2005, no. 73797/01)
• tribunal established by law → Ástráðsson v. Iceland (2020, no. 26374/18)
• judgment pronounced publicly, unless... → Pretto a.o. v. Italy (1983, no. 7984/77)

§§ 2 and 3: guarantees applicable to “criminal” cases only

• presumption of innocence → Saunders v. the United Kingdom (1996, no. 19187/91)
• defence rights (legal assistance etc.) → Kostovski v. the Netherlands (1990, no. 11454/841)
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- [everyone is entitled to] a fair ... → equality of arms, adversarial proceedings, duty to state reasons...
- and public hearing → Ramos Nunes de Carvalho e Sá v. Portugal (2018, 55391/13)
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- tribunal established by law → Ástráðsson v. Iceland (2020, no. 26374/18)
- judgment pronounced publicly, unless... → Pretto a.o. v. Italy (1983, no. 7984/77)
  → access to court, right to have a judgment enforced
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- defence rights (legal assistance etc.) → Kostovski v. the Netherlands (1990, no. 11454/841)
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2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

3. Everyone charged with a criminal offence has the following minimum rights:
   (a) to be informed promptly, in a language which he understands and in detail, of the nature and cause of the accusation against him;
   (b) to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence;
   (c) to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing or, if he has not sufficient means to pay for legal assistance, to be given it free when the interests of justice so require;
   (d) to examine or have examined witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him;
   (e) to have the free assistance of an interpreter if he cannot understand or speak the language used in court.
Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial – basic structure

1. In the determination of his civil rights and obligations or of any criminal charge against him, everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the trial in the interests of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties so require, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.

2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

3. Everyone charged with a criminal offence has the following minimum rights:
   (a) to be informed promptly, in a language which he understands and in detail, of the nature and cause of the accusation against him;
   (b) to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence;
   (c) to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing, or, if he has not sufficient means to pay for legal assistance, to be given it free when the interests of justice so require;
   (d) to examine or have examined witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him;
   (e) to have the free assistance of an interpreter if he cannot understand or speak the language used in court.
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- migration law
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- hiring/promotion/dismissal of civil servants
(→ exceptions, reformulations...)
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and so, *a contrario*, Article 6 ECHR does not apply to “administrative law” cases, that is: to disputes in the areas of
- migration law
- taxation
- hiring/promotion/dismissal of civil servants

(compensated, to some extent, by Article 13 ECHR: “Everyone whose rights and freedoms as set forth in this Convention are violated shall have an effective remedy before a national authority ...”)

(→ exceptions, reformulations...)
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§ 1: guarantees applicable to both “civil” and “criminal” cases

• Everyone is entitled to a fair ... (2018, 55391/13)
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Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial

§ 1: guarantees applicable to both “civil” and “criminal” cases

- everyone is entitled to a fair trial before a court
- and a public hearing
  → Ramos Nunes de Carvalho e Sá v. Portugal (2018, 55391/13)
- within a reasonable time
  → Unión Alimentaria Sanders SA v. Spain (1989, 11681/85)
- by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law
  → Ástráðsson v. Iceland (2020, no. 26374/18)
- judgment pronounced publicly, unless...
  → Pretto and Others v. Italy (2020, no. 26374/18)

§§ 2 and 3: guarantees applicable to “criminal” cases only

- presumption of innocence
- defence rights (legal assistance etc.)
**Article 47 EU Charter**

**Right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial**

1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law. Everyone shall have the possibility of being advised, defended and represented.

3. Legal aid shall be made available to those who lack sufficient resources in so far as such aid is necessary to ensure effective access to justice.
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1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law. Everyone shall have the possibility of being advised, defended and represented.

3. Legal aid shall be made available to those who lack sufficient resources in so far as such aid is necessary to ensure effective access to justice.

Article 48 – Presumption of innocence and right of defence

1. Everyone who has been charged shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

2. Respect for the rights of the defence of anyone who has been charged shall be guaranteed.
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1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law. Everyone shall have the possibility of being advised, defended and represented.

3. Legal aid shall be made available to those who lack sufficient resources in so far as such aid is necessary to ensure effective access to justice.

Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights

The first paragraph is based on Article 13 of the ECHR:

Everyone whose rights and freedoms as set forth in this Convention are violated shall have an effective remedy before a national authority notwithstanding that the violation has been committed by persons acting in an official capacity.

However, in Union law the protection is more extensive since it guarantees the right to an effective remedy before a court. The Court of Justice enshrined that right in its judgment of 15 May 1986 as a general principle of Union law (Case 222/84 Johnston [1986] ECR 1651 ...)
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1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.
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Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights

The first paragraph is based on Article 13 of the ECHR:

Everyone whose rights and freedoms as set forth in this Convention are violated shall have an effective remedy before a national authority notwithstanding that the violation has been committed by persons acting in an official capacity.

However, in Union law the protection is more extensive since it guarantees the right to an effective remedy before a court. The Court of Justice enshrined that right in its judgment of 15 May 1986 as a general principle of Union law (Case 222/84 Johnston [1986] ECR 1651 ...)

next session: Joined cases C-245/19 & C-246/19 État luxembourgeois 6 October 2020
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1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law. Everyone shall have the possibility of being advised, defended and represented.

3. Legal aid shall be made available to those who lack sufficient resources in so far as such aid is necessary to ensure effective access to justice.

Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights

The second paragraph corresponds to Article 6(1) of the ECHR which reads as follows: [...] 

In Union law, the right to a fair hearing is not confined to disputes relating to civil law rights and obligations. That is one of the consequences of the fact that the Union is a community based on the rule of law as stated by the Court in Case 294/83, ‘Les Verts’ v European Parliament (judgment of 23 April 1986, [1986] ECR 1339). Nevertheless, in all respects other than their scope, the guarantees afforded by the ECHR apply in a similar way to the Union.
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Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights

The second paragraph corresponds to Article 6(1) of the ECHR which reads:

In Union law, the right to a fair hearing is not confined to disputes relating to the consequences of the fact that the Union is a community based on the rule of law as stated by the Court in Case 294/83, ‘LesVerts’ v European Parliament (judgment of 23 April 1986, [1986] ECR 1339). Nevertheless, in all respects other than their scope, the guarantees afforded by the ECHR apply in a similar manner in Union law providing more extensive protection.
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Article 47 EU Charter

Right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial

1. Everyone whose rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union are violated has the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law. Everyone shall have the possibility of being advised, defended and represented.

3. Legal aid shall be made available to those who lack sufficient resources in so far as such aid is necessary to ensure effective access to justice.

Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights

With regard to the third paragraph, it should be noted that in accordance with the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights, provision should be made for legal aid where the absence of such aid would make it impossible to ensure an effective remedy (ECHR judgment of 9 October 1979, Airey, Series A, Volume 32, p. 11). There is also a system of legal assistance for cases before the Court of Justice of the European Union.
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Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial

Article 13 ECHR – right to an effective remedy

Art. 47 Charter somewhat broader:

- effective remedy before ‘a tribunal’
- not limited to ‘civil’ and ‘criminal cases’

more or less the same guarantees

Article 47 Charter – right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial

Article 48 Charter – Presumption of innocence and right of defence
Article 6 ECHR & Article 47 EU Charter FR: conclusion

- Article 6 ECHR – right to a fair trial
- Article 13 ECHR – right to an effective remedy
- Article 47 Charter – right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial
- Article 48 Charter – Presumption of innocence and right of defence

More or less the same guarantees

Art. 47 Charter somewhat broader:
- effective remedy before ‘a tribunal’
- not limited to ‘civil’ and ‘criminal cases’

Art. 47 (1) Charter has a different scope than Art. 13 ECHR:
- rights and freedoms guaranteed by the law of the Union (Charter)
- rights and freedoms as set forth in this Convention (ECHR)
Article 47 EU Charter ... what about Article 6 ECHR?

• differences, similarities – historic context, text

– practical use – the roles of the ECtHR and CJEU

• [the principle of equivalent protection; mutual recognition of foreign judgments]
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ECtHR

ECJ

preliminary ruling procedure
Art. 267 TFEU
Remember ...

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (2000)

Title VII – General Provisions Governing the Interpretation and Application of the Charter

Article 52 – Scope and interpretation of rights and principles

3. In so far as this Charter contains rights which correspond to rights guaranteed by the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the meaning and scope of those rights shall be the same as those laid down by the said Convention. This provision shall not prevent Union law providing more extensive protection.
‘spirit of mutual recognition’

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (2000)

Title VII – General Provisions Governing the Interpretation and Application of the Charter

Article 52 – Scope and interpretation of rights

3. In so far as this Charter contains rights which correspond to rights guaranteed by the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the meaning and scope of those rights shall be the same as those laid down by the said Convention. This provision shall not prevent Union law providing more extensive protection.

next session:
CJEU, DB
(Case C-481/19, 2 February 2021)
CJEU refers to ECtHR case-law

ECtHR, Ástráðsson v. Iceland
(1 December 2020, no. 26374/18)
ECtHR refers to CJEU case-law
Article 47 EU Charter ... what about Article 6 ECHR?

• differences, similarities – historic context, text

• practical use – the roles of the ECtHR and CJEU

[the principle of equivalent protection; mutual recognition of foreign judgments]
**Bosphorus v. Ireland (2005, no. 45036/98)**

facts

- Bosphorus leases airliner from JAT
- civil war in Yugoslavia
- UN sanctions → EC measures → national implementation
- Irish authorities seize aircraft leased by Bosphorus
- measure challenged before Irish courts
- Irish court: preliminary question to ECJ
- ECJ: compliance with sanctions regime is necessary
- Irish court decided accordingly
**Bosphorus**

facts

- Bosphorus leases airliner from JAT
- civil war in Yugoslavia
- UN sanctions → EC measures → national implementation
- Irish authorities seize aircraft leased by Bosphorus
- measure challenged before Irish courts
- Irish court: preliminary question to ECJ
- ECJ: compliance with sanctions regime is necessary
- Irish court decided accordingly

claim before ECtHR

- protection of property rights (Art.1 Prot. 1)
- complaint addressed against ...
- Ireland
Essence of Court ruling:

1. international integration is important
2. but the ECHR should not be undermined
3. compromise: ‘equivalent protection test’
4. if, in general, an international organisation offers equivalent protection of human rights → rebuttable presumption that the international organisation did not violate human rights in the instant case → the member state can safely implement the IO’s decisions
5. EC legal order, in general, offers ‘equivalent protection’ (substance + procedures)
6. in this case no manifest errors
7. so Ireland could presume that EC sanctions did not violate human rights → and safely implement them
**Bosphorus**

155. ... State action taken in compliance with such legal obligations is justified as long as the relevant **organisation is considered to protect fundamental rights**, as regards both the substantive guarantees offered and the mechanisms controlling their observance, in a manner which can be considered at least **equivalent** to that for which the Convention provides (...).

156. If such equivalent protection is considered to be provided by the organisation, the **presumption** will be that a State has **not departed from the requirements of the Convention** when it does no more than implement legal obligations flowing from its membership of the organisation.

However, any such **presumption can be rebutted** if, in the circumstances of a particular case, it is considered that the protection of Convention rights was **manifestly deficient**.
Michaud v. France (2012, no. 12323/11)

Obligation on lawyers to report suspected money laundering by clients
• EU directive 2005/60 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing
• France: implementation via Monetary and Financial Code

Mr. Michaud: violation of Article 8 ECHR

France: only implementing EU law → follow Bosphorus → presumption → ECtHR should not review French measures

ECtHR:
• directive leaves discretion (Bosphorus: “no more than implementing”)
• no preliminary questions asked
→ so Bosphorus presumption does not apply
ECtHR and co-operation between EU Member States

- *Avotiņš v. Latvia* (2016, no. 17502/07) – recognition of foreign judgment
**ECtHR and co-operation between EU Member States**

- **Avotiņš v. Latvia** (2016, no. 17502/07) – recognition of foreign judgment

**Avotiņš v. Latvia**

- judgment delivered in Cyprus in the debtor’s absence
- enforcement in Latvia (Regulation 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 - Brussels I)
- ECtHR:  
  (a) Article 6 § 1 applicable
  (b) presumption of equivalent protection: Latvian court had done no more than implement legal obligations arising out of EU membership
  (c) manifestly deficiency? the principle of mutual recognition not to be applied automatically and mechanically to the detriment of fundamental rights – “mutual trust is not blind trust”
Adv. LLM programmes

- European and international human rights law
- European and international business law
- Public international law
- Law & digital technologies
- ...